STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): 3/7/2023

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: 4/19/2023

School Food Authority Name: Searcy County Schools

General Program Participation 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply) ✓ School Breakfast Program ✓ National School Lunch Program ☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program ☐ Afterschool Snack ☐ Seamless Summer Option 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply) ☐ Community Eligibility Provision ✓ Special Provision 2 **Review Findings** 3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? □ No REVIEW FINDINGS Meal Access and Reimbursement - Performance Standard 1 YES NO Technical Assistance Corrective Action Certification and Benefit Issuance **√** Verification \checkmark **√** П Meal Counting and Claiming Charge Policy and Unpaid Meal Procedures П Findings: 1) An error was discovered when consolidating the total lunch counts for the January 2023 claim for reimbursement resulting in an overclaim. **Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality** Corrective Action YES NO Technical Assistance Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis Findings: 1) On the day of review, the "Cheddar Combo" line of the grab and go station did not meet the 1 oz equivalent daily grain requirement for students in grades 7-8 or the 2 oz equivalent daily grain requirement for students in grades 9-12. 2) For the week of menu review, the 7-12 breakfast menu did not meet the whole grain-rich requirement. At least 80% of the grains offered weekly must be whole grain-rich to meet requirements. The menu met 70.71% whole grains for the week of review for grades 7-12. 3) For the week of menu review, the 7-8 and 9-12 lunch menus did not meet the whole grain-rich requirements. At least 80% of the grains offered weekly must be whole grain-rich to meet requirements. The menus met 73.88% whole grains for the week of review for grades 7-8 and 9-12. 4) For the week of menu review, the 7-8 and 9-12 lunch menus did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for grain. **General Program Areas** YES NO Technical Assistance Corrective Action Resource Management Civil Rights SFA On-Site Monitoring Local School Wellness Policy П П П \checkmark Smart Snacks in Schools **√ Professional Standards** Water Food Safety, Storage, and Buy American

| | ✓ | Reporting and Record Keeping | | |
|----------|----------|--|---|--|
| √ | | School Breakfast Program and Summer Meals Outreach | ✓ | |
| | ✓ | After School Snack | | |
| | ✓ | Seamless Summer | | |
| | ✓ | Fresh Fruit and vegetable Program | | |
| | | Other: | | |

Findings:

- 1) The SFA provided special dietary accommodations that did not meet the USDA meal pattern without appropriate documentation on file.
- 2) The SFA serves free meals to nonprogram adults and does not reimburse the nonprofit school food service account for the full cost of the meals.
- 3) The SFA underpriced vended meals and adult meals.
- 4) The SFA is not in compliance with the Buy American provision. Noncompliant food items were observed, and no documentation was available justifying the limited exceptions.